	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 22-10964-mg
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	CELSIUS NETWORK LLC,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	
12	United States Bankruptcy Court
13	One Bowling Green
14	New York, NY 10004
15	
16	September 20, 2023
17	11:01 AM
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON MARTIN GLENN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: JONATHAN

Page 2 HEARING re Hybrid Status Conference RE: Application to join in the Debtor's motion for entry of an order (i) authorizing the Debtors to enter into witness cooperation agreements with certain current and former employees, (ii) authorizing reimbursement of past and future out-of-pocket expenses of cooperating witnesses, and (iii) granting related relief. (Doc #3399, 2653, 2654, 2808) Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor
5	601 Lexington Avenue
6	New York, NY 10022
7	
8	BY: ELIZABETH JONES (TELEPHONICALLY)
9	
10	PAUL HASTINGS LLP
11	Attorneys for Joinder Applicants
12	200 Park Avenue
13	New York, NY 10166
14	
15	BY: AVI WEITZMAN
16	
17	WHITE & CASE LLP
18	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
19	555 South Flower Street, Suite 2700
20	Los Angeles, CA 90071
21	
22	BY: AARON COLODNY (TELEPHONICALLY)
23	
24	
25	

r	Pg 4 01 44
	Page 4
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
3	One Bowling Green
4	New York, NY 10004
5	
6	BY: SHARA CLAIRE CORNELL (TELEPHONICALLY)
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
9	ARTUR ABREU
10	DAVID J. ADLER
11	RICK ARCHER
12	CHRIS BECIN
13	JOHAN BRONGE
14	SANTOS CACERES
15	ANDREW CARTY
16	CARL J. COTE
17	CAM CREWS
18	STEFFAN DAVIES
19	TRISTAN DIAZ
20	SHARON M. DOW
21	JOHN PETER DZARAN
22	PAUL L. FABSIK
23	DEBORAH FRANKEL
24	UDAY GORREPATI
25	LUCAS J. HOLCOMB

	Py 5 01 44	
		Page 5
1	TAYLOR HARRISON	
2	IMMANUEL HERMANN	
3	SAMUEL P. HERSHEY	
4	MITCHELL HURLEY	
5	JASON IOVINE	
6	STIG JELLESTAD	
7	MIKE JOHNSON	
8	DAVID KAHN	
9	DAN KAPLAN	
10	YARA KASS-GERGI	
11	TRAVIS KEENEY	
12	DIETRICH KNAUTH	
13	CHRIS KOENIG	
14	ROSS KWASTENIET	
15	JOSPEH LALIA	
16	JOSEPH LEHRFELD	
17	DAVID LOS ARCOS CARCAMO	
18	JESSE LUND	
19	CHASE MARSH	
20	BRIAN S. MASUMOTO	
21	TIMON MITRAKAS	
22	MASON PALISSERY	
23	MILIN PATEL	
24	JEFF PATTON	
25	GREOGRY F. PESCE	

	Py 6 01 44	\neg
	Page 6	
1	AMELIA POLLARD	
2	MACIEJ PORCZEK	
3	DONALD POYNTER	
4	JONATHAN RANDLES	
5	DAVID SCHNEIDER	
6	NOAH M. SCHOTTENSTEIN	
7	MATTHEW W. SILVERMAN	
8	LUKE SPANGLER	
9	COURTNEY BURKS STEADMAN	
10	NIKHIL SURI	
11	DAVID TURETSKY	
12	VICTOR UBIERNA DE LAS HERAS	
13	EZRA VAZQUEZ-D'AMICO	
14	VETON VEJSELI	
15	KEITH WOFFORD	
16	KAILA ZAHARIS	
17	JEFFREY ZATS	
18	TANZILA ZOMO	
19	JASMINE ARMAND	
20	SOMA BISWAS	
21	PAUL BREUDER	
22	CHIU LAI CHEUNG	
23	VITOR CUNHA	
24	RICKIE CHANG	
25	GEOFFREY CIRKEL	
		- 1

Γ	Pg 7 01 44	
		Page 7
1	CHRISTOPHER COCO	
2	DAVID J. DALHART	
3	THOMAS DIFIORE	
4	SCOTT DUFFY	
5	DREW DUFFY	
6	BEN EADES	
7	JANELL ECKHARDT	
8	FLORENCE M. FLANNIGAN	
9	REBECCA GALLAGHER	
10	JASLEIGH GEARY	
11	BRADLEY GIARDIELLO	
12	MIRA HAQQANI	
13	RIKI KOULY	
14	JASON LU	
15	SERBAN LUPU	
16	KEVIN M. MANUS	
17	SARAH B. MARONPOT	
18	ERIK MENDELSON	
19	MICHAEL D. HORRIS	
20	ELIZABETH MENDELSON	
21	MICHAEL D. MORRIS	
22	ELIZABETH NAPOLITANO	
23	KEITH NOYES	
24	MARK ROBINSON	
25	JONATHAN RODRIGUEZ	
- 1		

	1 g 0 01 44	
		Page 8
1	JACK SCHICKLER	
2	DAVID SENES	
3	PETER J. SPROFERA	
4	PO J. SPOFERA	
5	VINCE SULLIVAN	
6	MAUDE H. TIPTON	
7	PIETER VAN TOL	
8	CAROLINE WARREN	
9	TAK YEUNG	
10	ANDREW YOON	
11	ZACHARY ZABIB	
12	DAVID BARSE	
13	JARNO BERG	
14	ROBERT M. KAUFMANN	
15	RAKESH PATEL	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 9 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. All 3 We're here in Celsius 22-10964. 4 right. This is in 5 connection with the Debtor's motion for an order with 6 respect to compensating counsel for witness cooperation 7 agreements with current and former employees. Who's going 8 to begin for the Debtor? 9 MS. JONES: Your Honor, Elizabeth Jones of 10 Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. Mr. Weitzman 11 filed the joinder and request for status conference, so if 12 okay will you, we'll have him start. 13 THE COURT: That's fine. 14 MR. WEITZMAN: May I approach the podium? 15 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead. Please. Just give me a second, okay, to make some notes. 16 17 All right. Go ahead. Thank you, Your Honor. Good 18 MR. WEITZMAN: 19 Avi Weitzman from Paul Hastings. I'm here today to 20 address certain of my client's application to join the 21 Debtors' motion to authorize payment of cooperating witness 22 legal fees, which as Your Honor knows is docket -- my motion 23 is Docket 3399. The applicants who sought to join are eight 24 of my clients. They are current and former employees and 25 officers of Debtor.

currently represent 12 former and current officers and employees of Debtor. I was retained at the outset of the bankruptcy proceeding to serve as the pool counsel to nonconflicted officers and employees. The Debtors, as Your Honor is aware, filed the application to pay for cooperating witness fees approximately seven months ago on February 28th, 2023, Docket 2147.

The UCC initially objected to that application but then withdrew its objection after working with Debtors to modify the proposal.

THE COURT: And I see -- I have in front of me a copy of the revised order that's been submitted. I have the redline open in front of me on my desk. So I've been through that this morning as well.

MR. WEITZMAN: Great, Your Honor. So I think I can skip the procedural background. The U.S. Trustee has noted an objection, as Your Honor is aware, Docket 2230, and persisted in that objection at the hearing Your Honor held on June 15th, Docket 2808. I think the objection was misplaced. It primarily relied on the assumption, incorrect as it was, that the application would seek to reimburse legal fees for Alex Mashinsky's and others who are accused of wrongdoing.

The application does not do that. As Your Honor is aware, both in the application and in the revised order,

it makes very clear that it will not reimburse for anybody's

-- anybody who's accused of wrongdoing, legal fees. And if

you look at the application, the proposed order, excuse me,

at Paragraphs 6 and 7, that's abundantly clear. We've

recently joined --

THE COURT: Just give me a second. Let me look.

This is the revised order.

MR. WEITZMAN: The revised order, Paragraphs 6 and 7. The excluded parties are anybody that the UCC sued or intends to sue, and that includes Mr. Mashinsky.

THE COURT: Just give me a moment. What would be helpful to me is if you just briefly review the safeguards that are included in the order to assure that alleged wrongdoers are not eligible to receive compensation.

And I'll ask Ms. Cornell about this as well, but
the -- because I understand the revised order, and this
Paragraph 6 in particular, "Absent further order of the
Court, none of the prospective defendants shall be
designated as eligible individuals and such parties shall
not be entitled to receive reimbursement by the Debtors for
any expenses. No later than 14 calendar days after the
entry of this order, the Debtor shall provide the U.S.
Trustee and the Committee with all documents related to any
reimbursements made by the Debtor, any prospective
defendants, or their counsel with respect to any

Page 12 1 investigation or other proceeding involving a prospective 2 defendant," then it has, "since July 13th, '21." I'll stop reading. So, but I think it was -- I 3 understand and I'm certainly -- will listen to Ms. Cornell 4 5 about it, but certainly from the start -- and I think this 6 is why the Committee was concerned from the start, that 7 alleged wrongdoers are not suddenly going to find themselves 8 being -- having their lawyers compensated by this insolvent 9 Debtor. 10 MR. WEITZMAN: That's correct, Your Honor. And I 11 think the procedural safeguards are much robust than even 12 just that one. 13 THE COURT: Okay. But --14 MR. WEITZMAN: There are --15 THE COURT: And review those for me. 16 MR. WEITZMAN: Yeah. In Paragraph 4, before any 17 reimbursement gets made, there are certain conditions that need to be satisfied. The person, as I understand it, needs 18 19 to sign a agreement to cooperate. They then need to submit 20 invoice, detailed list of investigations, engagement letter. 21 And that all goes to the Debtor. The Debtor shares 22 information, as I understand it, with the UCC or maybe that 23 the applicant has to share the information with the UCC. I 24 see that they're on the list of recipients. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Weitzman, let -- I just -- just

let me say, we're -- we have visitors who are coming into the courtroom and I'll just briefly explain so you're not wondering why we have so many people suddenly coming into this hearing. So --

MR. WEITZMAN: They heard I was speaking?

Administrative Office of the Courts in Washington who are here in the Court for meetings today. And I had the pleasure of being part of the meeting earlier this morning. And in addition to the many other things they're doing here today, they were interested in seeing a hearing. So that should explain why we had a group who was just coming into the Court. So, okay.

MR. WEITZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I wish this were more -- a more exciting hearing. I suspect not much in the way of fireworks. However --

THE COURT: I should just say that -- for the benefit of the visitors who've come in that while there were only two lawyers in the courtroom and a lawyer for the U.S. Trustee on Zoom, there are others on Zoom who have signed up to observe the hearing, but it wasn't required that any of them be here today. But just to give you some background about, yes, there are only two lawyers -- three lawyers who I expect will be arguing about it.

May -- there may be others who are going to want

to be heard and I'll give them a chance to do that, but just to put it in context, and just -- the issue, among the issues before the Court in Celsius is a proposal for Celsius, the Debtor, to be able to reimburse or refer to as pool counsel for employees or -- officers or employees present or former who have been asked to either submit for depositions or interviews, provide cooperation.

Throughout this case, there have been many issues and many things have come up where it's been essential to be able to know from the people who presently work, still work, or did work for Celsius, what happened and why. And so oftentimes what happens, and this is not uncommon in big cases, where lots of employees who are not accused of having done anything wrong, are put -- either having testimony or voluntary interviews and often they want to be represented by counsel.

So they always can on their own, but the issue is when, can, or should the insolvent company, Celsius, reimburse expenses for employees to be represented in connection with depositions or interviews. So that's the background. This has actually been on the calendar of the Court for quite some time. There have been some modifications and what I have before me is, so initially the Debtors made this motion and the Creditors Committee had opposed the motion.

And through extensive negotiation, the proposed order has been revised extensively to put in various safeguards in terms of amounts or what showings and who can receive any compensation. The company also has directors' and officers' liability insurance, but at least it appears that the insurers are unwilling to compensate attorneys for the voluntary appearance of employees at interviews, deposition.

So that's a little bit of the background. I hope I didn't misstate anything along the way, but it gives you a sense of what we're talking about. And Mr. Weitzman is one of the -- is the pool counsel. He's represented, I think you told me it's 12 or?

MR. WEITZMAN: Twelve. Yes.

employees of Celsius. That's really the nub of the issue.

The U.S. Trustee, Shara Cornell, one of the attorneys for the U.S. Trustee in the Southern District is on the Zoom screen and after I hear from Mr. Weitzman, I'll give Ms.

Cornell a chance because the U.S. -- as I understand it, the U.S. Trustee continues to object to the request for relief.

So I'm hearing -- this is really a conference but, look, with the confirmation hearing starting on October 2, it's important to me that this issue get resolved.

MR. WEITZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I

appreciate that.

THE COURT: Sooner rather than later. I interrupted you, Mr. Weitzman. Go ahead, go ahead.

MR. WEITZMAN: So I was saying in response to your question that there are a number of procedural safeguards. Obviously, notice is an important procedural safeguard and objections are an important procedural safeguard. This is not the Debtors proceeding on their own. This will be in collaboration with the UCC and with the Trustee, each of which will receive notice and will receive an opportunity to object any particular reimbursement request. That's -- those procedures are clearly laid out in Paragraph 4, as detailed as it is.

I think there are many other procedural safeguards, of course. In addition to not reimbursing for any legal fees for someone who's already been named in a prospective lawsuit by the UCC, of which there are many individuals named, there's a -- no opportunity for anybody who's been indicted by the U.S. Attorney or charged by the U.S. Attorney to get reimbursement.

On top of that, in Paragraph 8, if an eligible individual is either deemed to have engaged in wrongdoing by a judge or jury or admits under oath that they have engaged in wrongdoing or provide any untruthful testimony or are convicted of a crime, they will have to reimburse the Debtor

or the successor entity for all legal fees paid.

On top of that, none of the fees that are paid to any of the individuals can be used in the defense in connection with any civil or criminal proceeding. And if the fees have already been paid by a covered individual, they are not subject to reimbursement at this point. It's only for unreimbursed and unpaid fees, and of course, the Debtor has subrogation rights.

So there are a number of procedural safeguards that ensure that money doesn't go into wrongdoers' hands and that the only people who are going to have fees reimbursed are those who have been cooperative and are not accused of wrongdoing. The cooperation that they provided has been extensive. I'll speak on behalf of my clients, although I'm familiar with other individuals' cooperation as well.

My clients have sat for interviews by the Debtor.

They've sat for interviews by the Trustee. They've sat for interviews -- I'm sorry, I meant to say the examiner, not the Trustee. They've sat for interviews with the UCC.

They've been interviewed by the U.S. Attorney's Office, the SEC, and the CFTC, some of them multiple times. They've produced documents to the Debtors from their own personal records, not just business records.

That cooperation is not over. It's ongoing. It is likely that many of my clients will be called in any

1 proceeding that is prosecuted by the U.S. Attorney's Office, 2 the SEC, and the CFTC. It is expected that those other 3 regulatory proceedings may be stayed, but the U.S. Attorney's Office proceeding against Alex Mashinsky is going 4 forward and I'm -- I have been informed that several of my 5 6 clients may well testify in that proceeding. 7 Any testimony that they provide, any meetings in 8 preparation with the U.S. Attorney's Office is not subject 9 to D&O reimbursement. The only insurance, the only D&O 10 insurance that will be paid out is for individuals who were 11 subpoenaed by a regulator. So most of my clients' legal 12 fees will not be subject to any reimbursement by a D&O 13 carrier and the only way to reimburse their voluntary 14 cooperation is through this application that the Debtors 15 have made. My --16 THE COURT: This proposed order also includes 17 caps. 18 MR. WEITZMAN: Correct. 19 THE COURT: On how much can be reimbursed. 20 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes. So it is not a unlimited pool 21 that the Debtors are seeking to distribute. The caps are 22 very clearly laid out. As to prior expenses, it's capped at a total of \$2.5 million. The original application had it at 23

And as to future expenses, it's capped at \$90,000 for

\$3 million. It was reduced following consultation with the

24

Page 19 1 each individual witness and in the aggregate at no more than 2 \$1.5 million. It's -- at today's rates, not so much money; 3 although, I recognize that to unsecured creditors, this is a 4 large and important pool. THE COURT: Let me ask, and I don't know whether 5 6 you or Ms. Jones can answer this. In the aggregate, how 7 many present or former officers or employees are 8 contemplated to be covered by this request for 9 reimbursement? 10 MR. WEITZMAN: I cannot answer that question. 11 THE COURT: Ms. Jones, are you able to indicate 12 that? MS. JONES: Elizabeth Jones of Kirkland & Ellis on 13 behalf of the Debtors. Your Honor, originally, it was 14 15 probably, there were 16 being considered. I would say it's 16 probably gone down some and it's closer to five to ten. 17 It'll depend on, as we prepare for the confirmation hearing, 18 what we -- what types of witnesses we think we'll need, but 19 it is unlikely that we're likely to hit or exceed the caps 20 especially given now that the time period has been extended. 21 So again, the \$2.5 million is from petition date to now, not 22 prior to petition date, and the 1.5 is from now until the 23 effective date or confirmation order is entered. 24 THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Weitzman. 25 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, Your Honor. So my clients

received a copy of the Debtors' application seven months ago and they proceeded in good faith on the assumption that that application will be prosecuted. I think Your Honor heard at a prior hearing that the Debtors were considering withdrawing that application. That necessitated our joinder.

months ago. Even earlier, frankly. They could either not cooperate voluntarily and force everybody to proceed through formal compulsory process which would have slowed down this entire proceeding, the U.S. Attorney's Office investigation and so on. They could have cooperated, but without a lawyer and subjected themselves potentially to liability. It's not easy when you're a non-lawyer to understand what's happening in civil and criminal proceedings and to cooperate fully, truthfully, and accurately. Or they could have proceeded by retaining independent counsel.

They chose the right approach, to retain independent counsel. In my case, it was a pool counsel and they did that at significant expense that they have not yet -- that they have not paid and my firm has not been paid. I think in all fairness, it is time for there to be a reimbursement of those significant fees. Their cooperation has been significant. It has furthered and benefited the estate.

It has sped up, I think, this bankruptcy proceeding. It has certainly sped up and assisted the U.S. Attorney's Office in their prosecution of others and will continue to permit the litigation trust to prosecute its claims against others. Given the procedural safeguards, given the interests of justice and in deference to the Debtors' business judgment, we'd respectfully request that Your Honor sign the proposed order found at Docket 2643.

I would add one more thing actually, Your Honor, that I skipped which is the Trustee had previously suggested that there was no need to retain independent counsel.

Kirkland or maybe its predecessor Latham could have represented these individuals. I think, Your Honor, that really misapprehends the position that these individuals are in and what was happening at the time.

I'm a former federal prosecutor in the Southern

District of New York. I will tell you that when Debtors'

counsel represents all the officers and individuals who are

being asked to be interviewed by the U.S. Attorney's Office,

U.S. Attorney's Office looks askance at that. They are

skeptical of that type of joint representation. They prefer

independent counsel and there are many good reasons why

independent counsel should participate representing these

officers and employees.

Debtors' motion recognizes it itself at paragraph

thirty -- I'm sorry, in their reply at Paragraph 10. They stated, it would be a significant conflict of interest for Kirkland to represent eligible individuals in their individual capacity during these Chapter 11 cases. Kirkland represents the Debtors, not the Debtors' employees, and it continues.

I think given the clear message that was -- that is sent in these types of investigations, and in fact, it's endorsed in the Enron opinion by Judge Casey that you need pool counsel and independent counsel to represent these officers and employees. I think the interests of justice require that the application of the Debtor be so ordered.

THE COURT: Yeah, and I have a copy of Judge

Casey's decision from 2005, 335 B.R. 22 from September 26,

2005. I think it's one of the principal authorities that

the Court is considering.

MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, Your Honor. It's -- you know, I recall the Enron case very well. It was an exceptional case; 105 employees were represented by Swidler. It was a massive fraud, as Your Honor is aware. It was a tricky and complicated case.

The Celsius case is a tricky and complicated case for many similar reasons, and many different reasons. This is a highly complex case that required independent counsel and many different lawyers have appeared on behalf of many

Pg 23 of 44 Page 23 different individuals who -- none of whom are accused of wrongdoing and are seeking reimbursement at this point. THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. MR. WEITZMAN: Thank you. THE COURT: Ms. Jones, do you want to be heard? MS. JONES: Thank you. Elizabeth Jones of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. Your Honor, I'll be brief, but I did want to flag a few more of the procedural safeguards. I know that has been a big concern and specifically notice and opportunity for parties to review. We will note that in the first instance, one, to be eligible for future -- or sorry, to be eligible for past, you have to participate in the future; two, to get that approved at all you have to submit significant documentation showing your participation, what your counsel rate is, what the summary is, and anticipated future investigations as well. That all goes to the Committee, the Debtors, and the U.S. Trustee at the same time. When -- then the Debtors and the Committee have 14 days to make a recommendation. The U.S. Trustee then has another seven days after that to The Debtors, the Committee, and the U.S. Trustee will work to resolve that, but once the decision is made,

So everybody will also see that and have notice of

all of that information is then filed on the docket.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it and then if after 14 days, nobody has raised an issue, we can then reimburse those expenses. So the motion is very much a procedural motion and has significant safeguards and we believe it's still very important now we're nearing confirmation. Witnesses are valuable. We agree with you that a resolution is probably the most important, but unless you have any other specific questions, I just wanted to make sure to flag that everybody would have notice and see what these witnesses have participated in.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Jones. Let me ask, before I turn to Ms. Cornell, is there anyone else on Zoom who wants to speak in support of the motion? This is an opportunity to do that.

MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, this is Aaron Colodny from the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Colodny.

MR. COLODNY: Your Honor, I echo Ms. Jones' comments. This was heavily negotiated and one of the provisions that was negotiated was that the Committee has a consent right over any participating witness and the reimbursement of any expenses. That was incredibly important to us and we intend to view this very rigorously. Ms. Jones, you know, also mentioned that we have confirmation coming up. I think it's very important that we get this resolved.

We have a number of witnesses that we are looking to call at confirmation and we've heard from all of their counsel a similar story to that that Mr. Weissman said today, which is, you know, they have gone through extreme sacrifice to cooperate here and that has not been without, you know, potential consequences to them and they need attorneys to advise them as to those potential consequences.

So the Committee supports the motion subject to the changes that we negotiated with the Debtors that are included in the order and we echo Ms. Jones' statement that everything will be filed on the docket. Everybody will have an opportunity to object and that includes the Court, as always.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Colodny. Is there anybody else on Zoom who wished to speak in support of the motion? All right. Ms. Cornell, do you want to be heard?

MS. CORNELL: Thank you. This is Shara Cornell with the Office of the United States Trustee. It's not the procedural safeguards that are at issue here, Your Honor, it's whether the estate should bear these costs. And while we sympathize with those that have assisted in any type of investigation here or in any case, there just isn't a basis for the Debtors here to foot the bill. And it's not about whether they need independent counsel or not, as counsel

suggested earlier. It is, it's whether the estate should pay for independent counsel.

And there's been no other cases cited by the parties other than Enron from 2005 and we do not believe that in this case it should be paid for by the Debtors' estate. There needs to be a clear and evidentiary basis for the benefit to the estate. In this case, in particular, with respect to Paul Hastings -- and I didn't file anything, Your Honor, because I was under the impression that today was just a status hearing -- but with respect to Paul Hastings in particular, Paul Hastings already has received \$750,000 for its work during the Celsius bankruptcy case.

It was received immediately prior to the filing of the petition. We do not know whether those funds were applied and whether or not this request includes that application and whether any of the work was done for individuals that were later removed by either the Committee or the Debtors' list and whether or not that money has been refunded to the estate.

There are still a lot of open ended procedural questions as they relate to all counsel and specifically as to Paul Hastings, but I do and the United States Trustee continues to question whether or not the estate should foot the bill here. And I'm happy to answer any other questions or supplement my briefing with respect to Paul Hastings or

anything else, but that is the United States Trustee's position at this time.

THE COURT: So, are there any cases that you -any decisions other than the -- so obviously, as I said, I
have a copy of the Enron decision in front of me because I I
view it, Judge Casey's decision in 2005, as sort of the
guiding principles that the Court should apply in resolving
this issue.

And I think since the motion was first filed and your objections, which I thought were -- and the Committee's objections, which were I thought well taken at the time, there's been significant modifications to the order and the procedures, so that even if I approve the order that's been submitted, this heavily negotiated order, the U.S. Trustee will have the right to object to any specific reimbursement request that's made. You agree with that, right?

MS. CORNELL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So is there some decision other than Judge Casey's decision in the Enron case that you think is applicable here and would require the Court to deny the motion?

MS. CORNELL: No, Your Honor. I don't believe that there -- I have in -- at least in my research, I have not found any cases following the 2005 opinion in either direction. However, again, this is quite unique relief and

it is not seen often. So I wasn't surprised that there was-- that there were few opinions out there on the issue.

But again, as I said earlier, it's not necessarily the procedural safeguards that are at issue and we truly appreciate the work that the Committee and the Debtors did to get this order in the shape that it is in right now. I know that there were tons of phone calls and tireless emails between all the parties, including myself. But it goes back to whether or not this Debtor's estate should pay for those legal fees.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Cornell.

MS. CORNELL: Thank you.

THE COURT: Is there anybody else on Zoom who wishes to speak in opposition to the pending motion? Ms. Jones or Mr. Weitzman, do you want to respond?

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor, if I may again --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. JONES: Elizabeth Jones of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of the Debtors. A few points on that. First, I do want to note, and you noted earlier, that's what Paragraph 6 is, that talks about if any funds had been paid to previously ineligible parties, we will provide that to the U.S. Trustee through the date of this order. So we did add that procedural safeguard in this order.

Second, as you also noted, Your Honor, this really

is a procedural motion in the sense that we are asking to streamline procedures for reimbursement, but there is a very real chance that the Debtors are not permitted to reimburse any expenses depending on objections or the evidence that parties provide. So at this stage, it's not seeking to take away rights but rather streamline a process which further reduces fees.

And third, Your Honor, just echoing the sentiment is, we really do believe this is valuable and important.

363(b) allows the Debtors in their reasonable business judgment to pay expenses. There are caps provided here so we know the absolute max, but again, there could potentially be none and so we believe that we've satisfied that standard. We provided a declaration for Mr. Ferraro and we do think that it makes sense here to try to provide a procedural process for these employees to be reimbursed in the way they benefit the estates.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Weitzman, do you want to be heard?

MR. WEITZMAN: Nothing further.

THE COURT: All right. So this was scheduled as a status conference, so I'm not going to rule from the bench with respect to this. I do think that it is really important that there be a clear ruling because the confirmation hearing starts October 2nd and I think clarity

Page 30 1 before that is very important. 2 So I'm going to undertake to get out an order 3 resolving these issues. I think what I have before me, 4 obviously, is the heavily negotiated order that reflects the compromises and agreements that have been reached and I 5 6 expect if I grant the motion, I'll enter that order, but I 7 will issue something that sort of briefly explains the 8 Court's reasoning. 9 So I think you can expect before the end of this 10 week there will be a ruling from the Court on the issue, but 11 I'm not ruling from the bench. This was just a status 12 conference, but I recognize the importance of getting a 13 decision out soon and so I will do that. I appreciate all 14 of you participating. Thank you. 15 MS. JONES: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: We're adjourned. 17 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:33 AM) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 31 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Sonya M. deslarski Hyd-7 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Veritext Legal Solutions 20 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: September 21, 2023

[& - application]

Page 1

&	2230 10:17	a	agreements 2:3
& 3:3,17 9:10	26 22:14	aaron 3:22	9:7 30:5
19:13 23:7	2643 21:8	24:14	ahead 9:15,17
28:18	2653 2:7	able 14:4,10	16:3,3 19:24
	2654 2:7	19:11	28:17
1	2700 3:19	abreu 4:9	alex 10:22 18:4
1.5 19:2,22	2808 2:7 10:19	absent 11:17	alleged 11:13
10 22:1	28th 10:7	absolute 29:12	12:7
10004 1:14 4:4	2nd 29:25	abundantly	allows 29:10
10022 3:6	3	11:4	amelia 6:1
10166 3:13	3 18:24	accurate 31:4	amounts 15:3
105 22:19	300 31:22	accurately	andrew 4:15
11 22:4	330 31:21	20:16	8:10
11501 31:23	335 22:14	accused 10:22	angeles 3:20
11:01 1:17	3399 2:7 9:23	11:2 14:13	answer 19:6,10
11:33 30:18	363 29:10	17:12 23:1	26:24
12 10:1 15:13		actually 14:21	anticipated
12151 31:7	4	21:9	23:16
13th 12:2	4 12:16 16:12	add 21:9 28:23	anybody 11:2
14 11:21 23:20	5	addition 13:10	11:9 16:18
24:1	555 3:19	16:15	25:15 28:13
15th 10:19	6	address 9:20	anybody's 11:1
16 19:15		adjourned	appearance
2	6 11:4,8,17	30:16	15:7
2 15:23	28:20	adler 4:10	appeared
2.5 18:23 19:21	601 3:5	administrative	22:25
20 1:16	7	13:7	appears 15:5
200 3:12	7 11:4,9	admits 16:23	applicable
2005 22:14,15	750,000 26:12	advise 25:7	27:20
26:4 27:6,24	8	aggregate 19:1	applicant
2023 1:16 10:7	8 16:21	19:6	12:23
31:25	9	ago 10:7 20:1,8	applicants
21 12:2 31:25		agree 24:5	3:11 9:23
2147 10:7	90,000 18:25	27:16	application 2:1
22 22:14	90071 3:20	agreement	9:20 10:5,8,21
22-10964 1:3		12:19	10:24,25 11:3
9:4			18:14,23 20:1
		ral Solutions	

20:3,5 22:12	authorities	benefited	carcamo 5:17
26:16	22:15	20:24	carl 4:16
applied 26:15	authorize 9:21	berg 8:13	caroline 8:8
apply 27:7	authorizing	big 14:12 23:9	carrier 18:13
appreciate	2:2,4	bill 25:24	carty 4:15
16:1 28:5	avenue 3:5,12	26:24	case 1:3 3:17
30:13	avi 3:15 9:19	biswas 6:20	14:8 20:19
approach 9:14	aware 10:5,17	bit 15:9	22:18,19,21,22
20:18	10:25 22:20	bowling 1:13	22:22,24 25:23
approve 27:13	b	4:3	26:5,7,12
approved	b 1:21 7:17	bradley 7:11	27:19
23:14	29:10	breuder 6:21	cases 14:13
approximately	b.r. 22:14	brian 5:20	22:4 26:3 27:3
10:6	back 28:8	brief 23:8	27:24
archer 4:11	background	briefing 26:25	casey 22:9
arcos 5:17	10:16 13:22	briefly 11:12	casey's 22:14
arguing 13:24	14:21 15:9	13:2 30:7	27:6,19
armand 6:19	bankruptcy	bronge 4:13	celsius 1:7 9:4
artur 4:9	1:1,12,23 10:3	burks 6:9	14:3,4,11,18
askance 21:20	21:1 26:12	business 17:23	15:16 22:22
asked 14:6	barse 8:12	21:7 29:10	26:12
21:19	basis 25:23	c	certain 2:4
asking 29:1	26:6	c 3:1 9:1 31:1,1	9:20 12:17
assisted 21:2	bear 25:21	ca 3:20	certainly 12:4
25:22	becin 4:12	caceres 4:14	12:5 21:2
assumption	behalf 9:10	calendar 11:21	certified 31:3
10:20 20:2	17:14 19:14	14:21	cftc 17:21 18:2
assure 11:13	22:25 23:7	call 25:2	chance 14:1
attorney 16:19	28:19	called 17:25	15:20 29:3
16:20	believe 24:4	calls 28:7	chang 6:24
attorney's	26:4 27:22	cam 4:17	changes 25:9
17:20 18:1,4,8	29:9,13	capacity 22:4	chapter 22:4
20:11 21:3,19	ben 7:6	capped 18:22	charged 16:19
21:20	bench 29:22	18:25	chase 5:19
attorneys 3:4	30:11	caps 18:17,21	cheung 6:22
3:11,18 4:2	benefit 13:18	19:19 29:11	chiu 6:22
15:6,17 25:7	26:7 29:17		
	T7 '4 , T	gal Solutions	

[choice - court] Page 3

choice 20:7	12:6 14:24	conflict 22:2	cornell 4:6
chose 20:18	23:18,20,22	connection 9:5	11:15 12:4
chris 4:12 5:13	24:15,19 25:8	14:20 17:4	15:17,20 24:11
christopher	26:17 28:5	consent 24:20	25:16,18,18
7:1	committee's	consequences	27:17,22 28:11
cirkel 6:25	27:10	25:6,7	28:12
cited 26:3	company 14:18	considered	correct 12:10
civil 17:4 20:15	15:4	19:15	18:18
claims 21:5	compensate	considering	costs 25:21
claire 4:6	15:6	20:4 22:16	cote 4:16
clarity 29:25	compensated	consultation	counsel 9:6
clear 11:1,4	12:8	18:24	10:4 11:25
22:7 26:6	compensating	contemplated	14:5,16 15:12
29:24	9:6	19:8	20:17,19,19
clearly 16:12	compensation	context 14:2	21:11,18,22,23
18:22	11:14 15:4	continue 21:4	22:10,10,24
clerk 9:2	complex 22:24	continues	23:15 25:3,25
client's 9:20	complicated	15:21 22:6	25:25 26:2,21
clients 9:24	22:21,22	26:23	country 31:21
17:14,16,25	compromises	convicted	course 16:15
18:6,11 19:25	30:5	16:25	17:7
20:7	compulsory	cooperate	court 1:1,12
closer 19:16	20:10	12:19 20:9,15	9:3,13,15
coco 7:1	concern 23:9	25:5	10:11 11:6,11
collaboration	concerned 12:6	cooperated	11:18 12:13,15
16:9	concluded	20:12	12:25 13:6,8
colodny 3:22	30:17	cooperating	13:13,17 14:3
24:14,14,16,17	conditions	2:6 9:21 10:6	14:22 15:15
25:14	12:17	cooperation	16:2 18:16,19
come 13:18	conference 2:1	2:3 9:6 14:7	19:5,11,24
14:9	9:11 15:22	17:13,15,24	22:13,16 23:3
coming 13:1,3	29:22 30:12	18:14 20:23	23:5 24:10,16
13:12 24:24	confirmation	cooperative	25:12,14 27:3
comments	15:23 19:17,23	17:12	27:7,18,20
24:18	24:5,24 25:2	copy 10:12	28:11,13,17
committee	29:25	20:1 22:13	29:18,21 30:10
3:18 11:23		27:5	30:16

court's 30:8 11:22,24 12:9 depositions eades 7:6 courtney 6:9 12:21,21 14:4 14:7,20 earlier 13:	0
courtney 6:9 12:21,21 14:4 14:7,20 earlier 13:	^
	:9
courtroom 16:25 17:8,16 designated 20:8 26:1	28:3
13:2,19 22:12 11:19 28:20	
courts 13:7 debtor's 2:2 desk 10:13 easy 20:14	
covered 17:5 9:5 28:9 detailed 12:20 echo 24:17	7
19:8 debtors 2:3 16:13 25:10	
creditors 3:18 9:10,21 10:5,9 diaz 4:19 echoing 29	9:8
14:24 19:3	7:7
24:15 16:8 17:22 different 22:23 ecro 1:25	
crews 4:17	9:23
crime 16:25 20:1,4 21:7,17 diffiore 7:3 eight 9:23	
criminal 17:4 21:25 22:5,5 direction 27:25 either 14:6	5,14
20:15 23:7,18,19,22 directors 15:4 16:22 20:8	8
cunha 6:23 25:9,24 26:5 distribute 26:17 27:2	24
current 2:4 9:7 26:18 28:5,19 18:21 eligible 11	:14
9:24 10:1 29:3,10 district 1:2 11:19 16:2	21
currently 10:1 decision 22:14 15:18 21:17 22:3 23:12	2,12
d 23:23 27:5,6 doc 2:7 elizabeth 3	3:8
d 7:19,21 9:1 27:18,19 30:13 docket 9:22,23 7:20,22 9:	9
d&o 18:9,9,12 decisions 27:4 10:7,17,19 19:13 23:6	6
d'amico 6:13 declaration 21:8 23:24 28:18	
dalhart 7:2 29:14 25:11 ellis 3:3 9:	10
dan 5:9 deemed 16:22 documentation 19:13 23:7	7
date 19:21,22 defendant 12:2 23:14 28:18	
defendants documents emails 28:	7
31:25 11:18,25 11:23 17:22 employees	2:4
david 4:10 5:8 defense 17:3 doing 13:10 9:7,24 10:	2,4
5:17 6:5,11 7:2 deference 21:6 donald 6:3 14:5,5,13,	19
8:2,12 deny 27:20 dow 4:20 15:7,16 19	9:7
davies 4:18 department drew 7:5 21:24 22:5	5,11
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	16
depend 10:17 depend 1:21 and 26:	20
23:20,21 24:1 depending endorsed 2	22:9
20.4 angaged 1	6:22
denocition t 1.21,21 3.1,1 16.23	
15.Q	
9:8,25 10:2 Youtevt Local Solutions	

engagement	expense 20:20	find 12:7	furthered
12:20	expenses 2:5	fine 9:13	20:24
enron 22:9,18	11:21 14:19	fireworks	future 2:5
26:4 27:5,19	18:22,25 24:2	13:16	18:25 23:12,13
ensure 17:10	24:21 29:4,11	firm 20:21	23:16
enter 2:3 30:6	explain 13:2,12	first 23:11 27:9	g
entered 19:23	explains 30:7	28:19	g 9:1
entire 20:11	extended 19:20	five 19:16	gallagher 7:9
entitled 11:20	extensive 15:1	flag 23:8 24:8	geary 7:10
entity 17:1	17:14	flannigan 7:8	geoffrey 6:25
entry 2:2 11:22	extensively	florence 7:8	, ,
erik 7:18	15:2	flower 3:19	gergi 5:10
especially	extreme 25:4	following	getting 30:12
19:20	ezra 6:13	18:24 27:24	giardiello 7:11 give 9:15 11:6
essential 14:9	f	foot 25:24	11:11 13:22
estate 20:25	f 1:21 5:25	26:23	14:1 15:19
25:21 26:1,6,7	31:1	force 20:9	
26:19,23 28:9		foregoing 31:3	given 19:20
estates 29:17	fabsik 4:22	formal 20:10	21:5,6 22:7
everybody	fact 22:8	former 2:4 9:7	gives 15:10
20:9 23:25	fairness 20:22	9:24 10:1 14:6	glenn 1:22
24:8 25:11	faith 20:2 familiar 17:15	15:15 19:7	go 9:15,17 16:3 16:3 17:10
evidence 29:4		21:16	19:24 28:17
evidentiary	february 10:7 federal 21:16	forward 18:5	
26:6		found 21:8	goes 12:21
examiner	fees 9:22 10:6	27:24	23:18 28:8
17:18	10:22 11:2	frankel 4:23	going 9:7 12:7
exceed 19:19	16:16 17:1,2,5	frankly 20:8	13:25 17:11
exceptional	17:7,11 18:12	fraud 22:20	18:4 29:22
22:18	20:23 28:10	front 10:11,13	30:2
exciting 13:15	29:7	27:5	good 9:18 20:2
excluded 11:9	ferraro 29:14	fully 20:15	21:22 24:16
excuse 11:3	file 26:8	funds 26:14	gorrepati 4:24
expect 13:24	filed 9:11 10:5	28:21	grant 30:6
30:6,9	23:24 25:11	further 11:17	granting 2:6
expected 18:2	27:9	29:6,20	great 10:15 30:15
	filing 26:13		30.13
		ral Salutions	

[green - jack] Page 6

green 1:13 4:3	hit 19:19	included 11:13	insurers 15:6
greogry 5:25	hobson's 20:7	25:10	intend 24:22
group 13:6,12	holcomb 4:25	includes 11:10	intends 11:10
guiding 27:7	hon 1:22	18:16 25:12	interest 22:2
h	honor 9:9,18	26:15	interested
h 8:6	9:22 10:5,15	including 28:8	13:11
hands 17:10	10:17,18,24	incorrect 10:20	interests 21:6
happened	12:10 13:14	incredibly	22:11
14:11	15:25 19:14,25	24:21	interrupted
happening	20:3 21:8,9,13	independent	16:3
20:14 21:15	22:17,20 23:7	20:17,19 21:11	interviewed
	24:14,17 25:20	21:22,23 22:10	17:20 21:19
happens 14:12	26:9 27:17,22	22:24 25:25	interviews
happy 26:24 haqqani 7:12	28:16,25 29:8	26:2	14:7,15,20
harrison 5:1	30:15	indicate 19:11	15:7 17:16,17
	hope 15:9	indicted 16:19	17:18,19
hastings 3:10	horris 7:19	individual	investigation
9:19 26:8,11	hurley 5:4	16:22 17:5	12:1 20:11
26:11,22,25 hear 15:19	hybrid 2:1	19:1 22:4	25:23
heard 13:5	hyde 2:25 31:3	individuals	investigations
14:1 20:3 23:5	31:8	11:19 16:18	12:20 22:8
25:2,17 29:19	i	17:3,15 18:10	23:16
	ii 2:4	21:13,14,18	invoice 12:20
hearing 2:1		22:3 23:1	involving 12:1
10:18 13:4,11	iii 2:6	26:17	iovine 5:5
13:15,21 15:22 15:23 19:17	immanuel 5:2 immediately	ineligible	issue 14:2,17
20:4 26:10	26:13	28:22	15:16,24 24:1
29:25		information	25:20 27:8
	importance 30:12	12:22,23 23:24	28:2,4 30:7,10
heavily 24:18 27:14 30:4		informed 18:5	issues 14:3,8
held 10:18	important 15:24 16:6,7	initially 10:8	30:3
	·	14:23	it'll 19:17
helpful 11:12 heras 6:12	19:4 24:4,6,22	insolvent 12:8	i
	24:24 29:9,24 30:1	14:18	i 4.10 16 25 7.2
hermann 5:2		instance 23:11	j 4:10,16,25 7:2
hershey 5:3	impression	insurance 15:5	8:3,4
highly 22:24	26:9	18:9,10	jack 8:1

[Junen meetings]			
janell 7:7	justice 4:1 21:6	lawsuit 16:17	lucas 4:25
jarno 8:13	22:11	lawyer 13:19	luke 6:8
jasleigh 7:10	k	20:12,14	lund 5:18
jasmine 6:19	kahn 5:8	lawyers 12:8	lupu 7:15
jason 5:5 7:14	kaila 6:16	13:19,23,23	m
jeff 5:24		22:25	
jeffrey 6:17	kaplan 5:9 kass 5:10	ledanski 2:25	m 4:20 6:6 7:8 7:16 8:14
jellestad 5:6		31:3,8	
jesse 5:18	kaufmann 8:14	left 20:7	maciej 6:2
johan 4:13	keeney 5:11	legal 9:22	made 11:24
john 4:21	keith 6:15 7:23	10:22 11:2	12:17 14:24
johnson 5:7	kevin 7:16	16:16 17:1	18:15 23:23
join 2:1 9:20	kirkland 3:3	18:11 28:10	27:16
9:23	9:10 19:13	31:20	make 9:16
joinder 3:11	21:12 22:3,4	lehrfeld 5:16	23:20 24:7
9:11 20:6	23:7 28:18	letter 12:20	makes 11:1
joined 11:5	knauth 5:12	lexington 3:5	29:15
joint 21:21	know 14:10	liability 15:5	manus 7:16
jonathan 1:25	19:5 22:17	20:13	mark 7:24
6:4 7:25	23:9 24:23	likely 17:25	maronpot 7:17
jones 3:8 9:9,9	25:4,6 26:14	19:19	marsh 5:19
19:6,11,13,13	28:7 29:12	list 12:20,24	martin 1:22
23:5,6,6 24:10	knows 9:22	26:18	mashinsky
24:17,23 25:10	koenig 5:13		11:10 18:4
	kouly 7:13	listen 12:4	mashinsky's
28:15,16,18,18	kwasteniet	litigation 21:4	10:22
30:15	5:14	little 15:9	mason 5:22
joseph 5:16	l	llc 1:7	massive 22:20
jospeh 5:15	1 4:22	llp 3:3,10,17	masumoto
judge 1:23	lai 6:22	look 11:3,6	5:20
16:23 22:9,13	laid 16:12	15:23	matter 1:5
27:6,19	18:22	looking 25:1	matthew 6:7
judgment 21:7		looks 21:20	maude 8:6
29:11	lalia 5:15	los 3:20 5:17	max 29:12
july 12:2	large 19:4	lot 26:20	meant 17:18
june 10:19	las 6:12	lots 14:13	meeting 13:9
jury 16:23	latham 21:12	lu 7:14	meetings 13:8
			18:7
		1014	

mendelson	25:16 27:9,21	notes 9:16	okay 9:12,16
7:18,20	28:14 29:1	notice 16:6,10	12:13 13:13
mentioned	30:6	23:10,25 24:8	27:18
24:23	multiple 17:21	noyes 7:23	old 31:21
message 22:7	n	nub 15:16	once 23:23
mg 1:3	n 3:1 9:1 31:1	number 16:5	ongoing 17:24
michael 7:19	named 16:16	17:9 25:1	open 10:13
7:21	16:18	ny 1:14 3:6,13	26:20
mike 5:7		4:4 31:23	opinion 22:9
milin 5:23	napolitano 7:22	0	27:24
million 18:23			opinions 28:2
18:24 19:2,21	nearing 24:4	o 1:21 9:1 31:1	opportunity
mineola 31:23	necessarily	oath 16:23	16:10,18 23:10
mira 7:12	28:3	object 15:21	24:13 25:12
misapprehends	necessitated	16:11 23:22 25:12 27:15	opposed 14:25
21:14	20:5		opposition
misplaced	need 12:18,19 19:18 21:11	objected 10:8	28:14
10:20		objection 10:9	order 2:2 9:5
misstate 15:10	22:9 25:6,25	10:17,18,19	10:12,25 11:3
mitchell 5:4	needs 12:18	objections 16:7	11:7,8,13,16
mitrakas 5:21	26:6	27:10,11 29:4 observe 13:21	11:17,22 15:2
modifications	negotiated		18:16 19:23
14:23 27:12	24:18,19 25:9 27:14 30:4	obviously 16:6 27:4 30:4	21:8 25:10
modify 10:10		october 15:23	27:12,13,14
moment 11:11	negotiation 15:1	29:25	28:6,23,24
money 17:10	network 1:7	office 13:7	30:2,4,6
19:2 26:18	new 1:2,14 3:6	17:20 18:1,4,8	ordered 22:12
months 10:6	3:13 4:4 21:17	20:11 21:3,19	original 18:23
20:1,8	nikhil 6:10	21:20 25:19	originally
morning 9:19	noah 6:6	officers 9:25	19:14
10:14 13:9	non 20:14	10:2,4 14:5	outset 10:3
24:16	nonconflicted	15:5 19:7	own 14:17 16:8
morris 7:21	10:4	21:18,24 22:11	17:22
motion 2:2 9:5	note 23:11	official 3:18	p
9:21,22 14:24	28:20	24:15	p 3:1,1 5:3 9:1
14:25 21:25	noted 10:17	oftentimes	paid 17:1,2,5
24:2,3,12 25:8	28:20,25	14:12	18:10 20:21,21
	,	- · · - -	

[paid - quite] Page 9

26:5 28:21	period 19:20	prepare 19:17	30:17 31:4
palissery 5:22	permit 21:4	present 4:8	process 20:10
paragraph	permitted 29:3	14:6 15:15	29:6,16
11:17 12:16	persisted 10:18	19:7	produced
16:12,21 21:25	person 12:18	presently	17:22
22:1 28:20	personal 17:22	14:10	proposal 10:10
paragraphs	pesce 5:25	previously	14:3
11:4,8	peter 4:21 8:3	21:10 28:22	proposed 11:3
park 3:12	petition 19:21	primarily	15:1 18:16
part 13:9	19:22 26:14	10:20	21:8
participate	phone 28:7	principal	prosecute 21:4
21:23 23:13	pieter 8:7	22:15	prosecuted
participated	please 9:3,15	principles 27:7	18:1 20:3
24:9	pleasure 13:9	prior 18:22	prosecution
participating	po 8:4	19:22 20:4	21:3
24:20 30:14	pocket 2:5	26:13	prosecutor
participation	podium 9:14	probably	21:16
23:15	point 17:6 23:2	19:15,16 24:6	prospective
particular	points 28:19	procedural	11:18,24 12:1
11:17 16:11	pollard 6:1	10:16 12:11	16:17
26:7,11	pool 10:3 14:5	16:5,6,7,14	provide 11:22
parties 11:9,19	15:12 18:20	17:9 21:5 23:9	14:7 16:24
23:10 26:4	19:4 20:19	24:3 25:20	18:7 28:22
28:8,22 29:5	22:10	26:20 28:4,24	29:5,15
past 2:5 23:12	porczek 6:2	29:1,16	provided 17:13
patel 5:23 8:15	position 21:14	procedures	29:11,14
patton 5:24	27:2	16:12 27:13	provisions
paul 3:10 4:22	potential 25:6	29:2	24:19
6:21 9:19 26:8	25:7	proceed 20:9	put 14:2,14
26:10,11,22,25	potentially	proceeded 20:2	15:2
pay 10:6 26:2	20:13 29:12	20:16	q
28:9 29:11	poynter 6:3	proceeding	question 16:5
payment 9:21	predecessor	10:3 12:1 16:8	19:10 26:23
pending 28:14	21:12	17:4 18:1,4,6	questions 24:7
people 13:3,6	prefer 21:21	20:11 21:2	26:21,24
14:10 15:15	preparation	proceedings	quite 14:22
17:11	18:8	18:3 20:15	27:25
		ral Solutions	21.23

[r - ruling] Page 10

	3 21 4	11 1 10 20	1 20 15
r	record 31:4	relied 10:20	respond 28:15
r 1:21 3:1 9:1	records 17:23	relief 2:6 15:21	response 16:4
31:1	17:23	27:25	retain 20:18
raised 24:1	redline 10:13	removed 26:17	21:11
rakesh 8:15	reduced 18:24	reply 22:1	retained 10:2
randles 6:4	reduces 29:7	represent 10:1	retaining
rate 23:15	refer 14:4	22:3,10	20:17
rates 19:2	reflects 30:4	representation	review 11:12
rather 16:2	refunded	21:21	12:15 23:11
29:6	26:19	represented	revised 10:12
reached 30:5	regulator	14:15,19 15:12	10:25 11:7,8
reading 12:3	18:11	21:13 22:19	11:16 15:2
real 29:3	regulatory	representing	rick 4:11
really 15:16,22	18:3	21:23	rickie 6:24
21:14 28:25	reimburse	represents	right 9:3,4,17
29:9,23	10:21 11:1	21:18 22:5	20:18 23:3
reasonable	14:4,19 16:25	request 9:11	24:20 25:16
29:10	18:13 24:2	15:21 16:11	27:15,16 28:6
	29:3	19:8 21:7	28:11 29:21
reasoning 30:8 reasons 21:22	reimbursed	26:15 27:16	rights 17:8
	17:11 18:19	require 22:12	29:6
22:23,23	29:16	27:20	rigorously
rebecca 7:9	reimbursement	required 13:21	24:22
recall 22:18	2:5 11:20	22:24	riki 7:13
receive 11:14	12:17 16:11,20	research 27:23	rise 9:2
11:20 15:4	17:6 18:9,12	resolution 24:6	road 31:21
16:10,10	19:9 20:23	resolve 23:23	robert 8:14
received 20:1	23:2 24:21	resolved 15:24	robinson 7:24
26:11,13	27:15 29:2	24:25	robust 12:11
recently 11:5	reimburseme	resolving 27:7	rodriguez 7:25
recipients	11:24	30:3	ross 5:14
12:24	reimbursing	respect 9:6	rule 29:22
recognize 19:3	16:15	11:25 26:8,10	ruling 29:24
30:12	relate 26:21	26:25 29:23	30:10,11
recognizes	related 2:6	respectfully	50.10,11
21:25	11:23	21:7	
recommenda	11.23	<u>~1.1</u>	
23:20			

[s - summary] Page 11

9	seen 28:1	solutions 31:20	status 2:1 9:11
3 1 5 20 0 1	senes 8:2	soma 6:20	26:10 29:22
s 3:1 5:20 9:1	sense 15:11	sonya 2:25	30:11
sacrifice 25:5	29:1,15	31:3,8	stayed 18:3
safeguard 16:6	sent 22:8	soon 30:13	steadman 6:9
16:7 28:24	sentiment 29:8	sooner 16:2	steffan 4:18
safeguards	september	sorry 17:18	stig 5:6
11:12 12:11	1:16 22:14	22:1 23:12	stop 12:3
15:3 16:5,15	31:25	sort 27:6 30:7	story 25:3
17:9 21:5 23:9	serban 7:15	sought 9:23	streamline
24:3 25:20	serve 10:3	south 3:19	29:2,6
28:4	seven 10:6 20:1	southern 1:2	street 3:19
samuel 5:3 santos 4:14	20:7 23:21	15:18 21:16	subject 17:6
santos 4:14 sarah 7:17	several 18:5	spangler 6:8	18:8,12 25:8
saran 7.17 sat 17:16,17,17	shape 28:6	speak 17:14	subjected
17:19	shara 4:6	24:12 25:15	20:13
satisfied 12:18	15:17 25:18	28:14	submit 12:19
29:13	share 12:23	speaking 13:5	14:6 23:14
saying 16:4	shares 12:21	specific 24:7	submitted
scheduled	sharon 4:20	27:15	10:12 27:14
29:21	showing 23:15	specifically	subpoenaed
schickler 8:1	showings 15:3	23:10 26:21	18:11
schneider 6:5	sign 12:19 21:8	sped 21:1,2	subrogation
schottenstein	signature 31:7	spofera 8:4	17:8
6:6	signed 13:20	sprofera 8:3	successor 17:1
scott 7:4	significant	stage 29:5	suddenly 12:7
screen 15:19	20:20,23,24	standard 29:14	13:3
seated 9:3	22:2 23:14	start 9:12 12:5	sue 11:10
sec 17:21 18:2	24:3 27:12	12:6	sued 11:9
second 9:16	silverman 6:7	starting 15:23	suggested
11:6 28:25	similar 22:23	starts 29:25	21:10 26:1
see 10:11 12:24	25:3	stated 22:2	suite 3:19
23:25 24:8	skeptical 21:21	statement	31:22
seeing 13:11	skip 10:16	25:10	sullivan 8:5
seek 10:21	skipped 21:10	states 1:1,12	summary
seeking 18:21	slowed 20:10	4:1 25:19	23:16
23:2 29:5		26:22 27:1	
	<u> </u>	val Solutions	

supplement	thing 21:9	transcript 31:4	23:19,21,22
26:25	things 13:10	travis 5:11	27:14 28:23
support 24:12	14:9	tricky 22:20,22	ubierna 6:12
25:15	think 10:15,19	tristan 4:19	ucc 10:8 11:9
supports 25:8	12:3,5,11	true 31:4	12:22,23 16:9
sure 24:8	15:12 16:14	truly 28:4	16:17 17:19
suri 6:10	19:18 20:3,22	trust 21:4	18:25
surprised 28:1	21:1,13 22:7	trustee 4:2	uday 4:24
suspect 13:15	22:11,15 24:24	10:16 11:23	uncommon
swidler 22:19	27:9,19 29:15	13:20 15:17,18	14:12
sympathize	29:23,25 30:3	15:21 16:9	under 16:23
25:22	30:9	17:17,19 21:10	26:9
t	third 29:8	23:19,21,22	understand
t 31:1,1	thirty 22:1	25:19 26:22	11:16 12:4,18
tak 8:9	thomas 7:3	27:14 28:23	12:22 15:20
take 29:5	thought 27:10	trustee's 27:1	20:14
taken 27:11	27:11	truthfully	undertake 30:2
talking 15:11	three 13:23	20:16	unique 27:25
talks 28:21	time 14:22	try 29:15	united 1:1,12
tanzila 6:18	19:20 20:22	turetsky 6:11	4:1 25:19
taylor 5:1	21:15 23:19	turn 24:11	26:22 27:1
telephonically	27:2,11	twelve 15:14	unlimited
3:8,22 4:6,8	times 17:21	15:15	18:20
tell 21:17	timon 5:21	two 13:19,23	unpaid 17:7
ten 19:16	tipton 8:6	23:13	unreimbursed
terms 15:3	tireless 28:7	type 21:21	17:7
testify 18:6	today 9:19	25:22	unsecured 3:18
testimony	13:8,11,22	types 19:18	19:3 24:15
14:14 16:24	25:4 26:9	22:8	untruthful
18:7	today's 19:2	u	16:24
thank 9:18	tol 8:7	u.s. 1:23 4:2	unwilling 15:6
13:14 15:25	told 15:13	10:16 11:22	used 17:3
19:24 23:3,4,6	tons 28:7	13:19 15:17,18	V
24:10 25:14,18	top 16:21 17:2	15:20,21 16:19	valuable 24:5
28:11,12 29:18	total 18:23	16:20 17:20	29:9
30:14,15	transcribed	18:1,3,8 20:11	van 8:7
	2:25	21:2,19,20	

[various - zoom]

Page 13

various 15:2	12:10,14,16,25	X
vazquez 6:13	13:5,14 15:11	x 1:4,10
vejseli 6:14	15:14,19,25	
veritext 31:20	16:3,4 18:18	y 7 10
veton 6:14	18:20 19:10,24	yara 5:10
victor 6:12	19:25 22:17	yeah 9:15
view 24:22	23:4 28:15	12:16 22:13
27:6	29:18,20	yeung 8:9
vince 8:5	white 3:17	yoon 8:10
visitors 13:1,18	who've 13:18	york 1:2,14 3:6
vitor 6:23	wish 13:14	3:13 4:4 21:17
voluntarily	wished 25:15	Z
20:9	wishes 28:14	zabib 8:11
voluntary	withdrawing	zachary 8:11
14:15 15:7	20:5	zaharis 6:16
18:13	withdrew 10:9	zats 6:17
W	witness 2:3 9:6	zomo 6:18
w 6:7	9:21 10:6 19:1	zoom 13:20,20
want 13:25	24:20	15:18 24:12
14:15 23:5,8	witnesses 2:6	25:15 28:13
25:16 28:15,20	19:18 24:5,9	
29:18	25:1	
wanted 24:7	wofford 6:15	
wants 24:12	wondering	
warren 8:8	13:3	
washington	work 14:10,10	
13:7	14:11 23:23	
way 13:16	26:12,16 28:5	
15:10 18:13	working 10:9	
29:17	wrong 14:14	
we've 11:4	wrongdoers	
25:2 29:13	11:14 12:7	
week 30:10	17:10	
weissman 25:3	wrongdoing	
weitzman 3:15	10:23 11:2	
	16:22,24 17:13	
9:10,14,18,19	23:2	
10:15 11:8		